

**Announcement of Bills assented to or disallowed
by His Highness the Maharaja.**

Secretary to the Council.—Sir, I have to announce to the House that the Cochin Legislative Council Members' Exemption Bill, passed by the Council on the 26th of Karkadagam 1103 corresponding to the 10th of August 1928, has received the gracious assent of His Highness the Maharaja on the 26th of Chingam 1105 corresponding to the 11th of September 1929. I have also to announce to the House that the Bill to amend the Cochin Criminal Procedure Code, passed by the Council on Friday, the 19th of Kumbham 1103 corresponding to the 2nd of March 1928, has been dissented from by His Highness the Maharaja on the 26th of Chingam 1105 corresponding to the 11th of September 1929.

Statements placed on the Table.

Mr. K. Krishna Pisharodi.—Sir, under Rule 51 of the Legislative Council Rules, I beg to place on the Table the following statement of demands restored by the Government under section 16 (8) of the Legislative Council Regulation, VIII of 1098 in the budget estimate for the year 1105 as passed by the Council.

Item No.	Amount Rs.	Remarks
13. General Admini- stration	62,782	The Diwan, by virtue of the powers conferred on him by section 16 (8) of the Legislative Council Regulation, declared that this is essential to the discharge of the responsibilities of the Government and it has, therefore, been restored.

Sir, under Rule 52 of the Legislative Council Rules, I beg to place on the Table the following statement of expenditure authorised by Government under section 16 (9) of the Legislative Council Regulation, VIII of 1098.

Item No.	Amount Rs.	Remarks
Cranganur.		
1. Land Revenue Cleaning charges.	32	Owing to the entertainment of a sweeper for the newly-constructed Village Office at Lokamaleswaram and the increased allowance paid to the Taluk thotty the allotment under "Cleaning charges" for 1104 was found insufficient and a sum of Rs. 32 had to be urgently transferred from the available savings under a non-voted head to make the payments which had fallen due in the year.

Point of order re: statements.

Mr. A. B. Salem.—Before we go to the next item, I would like to have enlightenment from the Chair as to whether, when the scope of a section in the Legislative Council Regulation is exceeded, we will be in order to raise a point for the attention of the House, for a ruling.

President.—I do not follow you.

Mr. A. B. Salem.—Supposing the scope of a section of our Cochin Legislative Council Regulation is exceeded, ...

President.—Which section?

Mr. A. B. Salem.—Section 16 (8). Suppose the scope of that section is exceeded, whether it will be in order to draw the attention of the House to the fact.

President.—The scope of the section is not exceeded. What is the legal interpretation you put on it?

Mr. A. B. Salem.—You have certified and placed before us statements for Rs. 62 thousand which amount is the entire demand that was thrown out in the last session. Of course, what is essential for the discharge of the responsibilities of Government can certainly be certified under that section, but not more than that. Suppose the Government certifies more than it is essential for the discharge of their responsibilities till the next House meets, in that case, would it be in order to raise a discussion in the House?

President.—Is that not a point on which the voice of the Government is final?